

Normal surfaces with strictly nef anticanonical divisors

Mikhail Grinenko

Steklov Institute, Moscow
e-mail: grin@mi.ras.ru

Abstract. *In this paper we study normal surfaces whose anticanonical divisors are strictly nef, i.e. $(-K) \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C .*

Remark. When this paper was ready to print Keiji Ogiso pointed me on the paper of Fernando Serrano [6] where some results of my work already proved (I mean two-dimensional case). Nonetheless in this paper we work in more general case.

It is well-known that a lot of properties of algebraic varieties are defined by the numerical properties of their anticanonical divisors. We consider algebraic surfaces from this point of view.

1. Smooth surfaces. Let X be a smooth projective surfaces over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Then X is rational if and only if

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(2K_X)) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X)) = 0.$$

These conditions are always met when $-K_X$ is ample, by the Kodaira vanishing theorem. On the other hand, the Nakai-Moishezon criterion yields

$$\text{Divisor } H \text{ is ample} \iff H^2 > 0 \text{ and } H \cdot C > 0 \text{ for every curve } C$$

As it has been shown in [3], we may drop the condition $H^2 > 0$ when H is an anticanonical divisor. One can get another description of this result.

Let $\text{NE}(X)$ be a cone of effective 1-cycles on X . Then X is Del Pezzo if and only if $-K_X$ is positive on $\text{NE}(X)$.

2. Normal surfaces. One may try to generalize the previous result. Let X be a normal surface. As in [5], we can take a canonical Weil divisor which define an invertible sheaf on the nonsingular locus of X . Because the intersection theory on normal surfaces does exist, let $-K_X \cdot C > 0$ for every curve $C \subset X$. What is possible to say in this situation? Let's consider some examples.

Examples. **a)** Let Y be a rational ruled surface with C_0 as an exceptional section, $C_0^2 \leq -2$. If $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a contraction of C_0 then X is rational and $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein ([1], [5]). Moreover, $-mK_X$ is ample for some integer $m > 0$. **b)** Let C be a smooth curve of genus 2 and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(E)$, where E is a divisor of degree $\deg E = -3$ on C . Let's consider a ruled surface

$$\pi : Y = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$$

for the sheaf $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus E$ of rank 2. Thus

$$K_Y \sim -2C_0 + \pi^*(F + E)$$

where C_0 is an exceptional section, $C_0^2 = -3$, and F is a canonical divisor on C , $\deg F = 2$.

If $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a contraction of C_0 to the normal surface X , we have

$$3\varphi^*K_X \sim -C_0 + 3\pi^*(F + E),$$

so $-K_X$ is numerically ample on X (i.e. $(-K_X)^2 > 0$ and $(-K_X) \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C). It is easy to see that X is projective. Indeed, let's take a divisor $H \sim C_0 - \pi^*E$ on Y . Then $H \cdot C_0 = 0$, $H \cdot C > 0$ for every curve $C \neq C_0$, $H^2 > 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_0} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C_0}$, so $H = \varphi^*D$ for some ample Cartier divisor H on X ([5]).

Since $(-C_0 + 3\pi^*(F + E))|_{C_0} \sim 3F + 2E$, X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein if and only if $n(3F + 2E) \sim 0$ for some integer $n \neq 0$. Anyway, X is not rational.

3. Now I formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem. *Let X be a normal projective surface over the field $\mathbb{C}$ such that $(-K_X) \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C on X . Then*

$$(i) \quad (-K_X)^2 > 0;$$

$$(ii) \quad X \text{ is rational if and only if all singularities of } X \text{ are rational, i.e. } R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0 \text{ for some resolution } \pi : Y \rightarrow X;$$

$$(iii) \quad X \text{ is rational if and only if } X \text{ is } \mathbb{Q}\text{-factorial.}$$

4. Proof. (i) We need the following lemma:

Lemma. *Let X be a surface with Du Val singularities, and $(-K_X) \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C . Then $(-K_X)^2 > 0$.*

Proof of lemma. By [3], we can suppose X to be singular. If $(-K_X)^2 = 0$, then $|nK_X| = \emptyset$ for any $n > 0$. Let

$$f : Y \longrightarrow X$$

be a minimal resolution of X . We have $K_Y = f^*K_X$ and $k(X) = k(Y) = -\infty$. Moreover, from the Riemann-Roch theorem

$$-h^1(Y, -K_Y) = 1 - q(Y),$$

where $q(Y)$ is the irregularity of Y . Then $q(Y) \geq 1$, and the minimal model of Y is a ruled surface over the curve of genus $g \geq 1$. But

$$0 = K_Y^2 \leq 8(1 - g) \leq 0,$$

so $g = 1$ and Y is minimal. It is impossible because Y contains the smooth rational curve E with $E^2 = -2$. This contradiction proves the lemma.

In order to prove the theorem, let's take the minimal resolution $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$, so

$$K_Y = \pi^*K_X + \sum \alpha_i E_i,$$

where $\{E_i\}$ are exceptional curves and all $\alpha_i \leq 0$. By the previous lemma, we can suppose $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for some i .

It is easy to see that $K_Y \cdot E_i \geq 0$ for all i and

$$K_Y \cdot C \leq K_X \cdot \pi_*C < 0$$

for any curve $C \notin \{E_i\}$.

By the Cone theorem,

$$\overline{\text{NE}}(Y) = \overline{\{\text{NE}_{>0} + \sum R_j\}},$$

where $\{R_j\}$ are extremal rays on Y . Let $K_Y^\perp = \{z \in \overline{\text{NE}}(Y) : z \cdot K_Y = 0\}$. Then

$$\overline{\text{NE}}(Y) = \overline{\left\{ \sum \mathbb{R}_+[E_i] + K_Y^\perp + \sum R_j \right\}}.$$

Let the extremal ray R_j be generated by the class of the curve C_j , i.e. $R_j = \mathbb{R}_+[C_j]$. Since $Y \neq \mathbb{P}^2$, we have either C_j is an exceptional curve of the first

kind, or Y is a ruled surface with C_j as its fiber ([4]). In the latter case $K_Y = \pi^*K_X - qE$, $q > 0$, E is an irreducible curve with $E^2 = -e < 0$. Let F be a fiber of Y , and $(aE + bF)$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor such that $(aE + bF) \sim -\pi^*K_X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(aE + bF) \cdot F &= a > 0 \\ (aE + bF) \cdot E &= -ae + b = 0\end{aligned}$$

hence $K_X^2 = (aE + bF)^2 = a^2e > 0$, and the theorem is proved in this case.

So we will suppose C_j to be a **-1**-curve, $K_Y \cdot C_j = -1$. Let $E = \sum E_i$ and mE be a Cartier divisor for some integer $m > 0$. Then $D = -mK_Y + mE$ is Cartier, $D \equiv m\pi^*(-K_X)$. Since

$$m = D \cdot C_j - mE \cdot C_j,$$

$-mE$ is effective and $C_j \notin \{E_i\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\min_j \{D \cdot C_j\} &\geq 1 \\ \max_j \{(-mE) \cdot C_j\} &\leq m - 1\end{aligned}$$

Let's consider the function $\bar{D} = D + E$ on the cone $\overline{\text{NE}}(Y)$. Then

- (1) $\bar{D} \geq 0$ on the cone $\sum \mathbb{R}_+[E_i]$ because $\bar{D} \cdot E_i = E \cdot E_i \geq 0$;
- (2) $\bar{D} \geq 0$ on the cone $K_Y^\perp$: if $z \in K_Y^\perp$ then $z \cdot E = -\pi^*K_X \cdot z \geq 0$;
- (3) $\bar{D} \geq 0$ on the cone $\sum R_j$ since $D \cdot C_j = D \cdot C_j + E \cdot C_j \geq 1 - \frac{m-1}{m} > 0$.

Thus $\bar{D} \geq 0$ on $\overline{\text{NE}}(Y)$, hence $\bar{D}^2 = m^2K_X^2 + E^2 \geq 0$, and then $K_X^2 > 0$ because $E^2 < 0$. The part (i) is proved.

(ii) Since $(-K_X)$ is *nef* and *big*, we have $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i > 0$ ([5], theorem 5.1). Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Let's suppose that all singularities are rational, $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$. Then

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^i(X, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$

for all i . Moreover, $H^0(Y, 2K_Y) = 0$, thus both Y and X are rational.

Conversely, $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ if X is rational. We have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q\pi_*\text{cal}\mathcal{O}_Y) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

which yields the next exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^0(X, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

thus $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$.

(iii) Let's take a resolution of singularities

$$\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$$

with exceptional curves $E_1, \dots, E_s$, and

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^s E_i$$

If X is rational, then $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$, hence $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_E = 0$, i.e. $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$. By [1],

$$H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E^*) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^s$$

in this case. For any Weil divisor D on X we have

$$\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \in \text{Pic}(Y)$$

for some integer $m > 0$, and $\deg_{E_i} \mathcal{O}_E(\pi^*mD) = 0$ for every i , so

$$\mathcal{O}_E(\pi^*mD) = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^s.$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_E(\pi^*mD) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_E$ and mD is Cartier divisor on X ([5]).

Conversely, if X is not rational, then

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1 \neq 1 - h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y),$$

and there is a nonrational curve among $E_1, \dots, E_s$ (for example E_1). Let

$$f : Y \longrightarrow Z$$

be a birational morphism to the minimal model of Y , and $C = f(E_1)$. Z is ruled, and we can choose two fibers F_1 and F_2 such that f is an isomorphism near them and

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(n(F_1 - F_2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \neq \mathcal{O}_C$$

for every integer $n \neq 0$. Then $\pi(f^{-1}(F_1) - f^{-1}(F_2))$ is a Weil divisor on X which is not $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier.

The proof is complete.

6. Remarks. 1) The part (ii) of the theorem works only under the condition on $(-K_X)$ to be *big* and *nef*. It is easy to get a counter-example. We can blow up 12 points on $\mathbb{P}^2$ which are an intersection of the smooth elliptic curve and some quartic. The contraction of the strict transform of this

elliptic curve yields a normal projective rational surface with a nonrational singularity.

2) A normal surface with a numerically ample anticanonical divisor is called *numerical Del Pezzo*. Such surfaces with nonrational singularities are described in [2].

3) We can use the same reasons to obtain the next result in *log*-theory:

Proposition. *Let (X, B) be a pair with log terminal singularities. The $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $-(K_X + B)$ is ample if and only if it is strictly nef, i.e. $-(K_X + B) \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C .*

References

- [1] Artin M. *Some numerical criteria for contractibility of curves on algebraic surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 485-496
- [2] Cheltsov I. *Del Pezzo surfaces with nonrational singularities*, Matematicheskie Zametki, **62** (1997), 451-467 (in russian)
- [3] Maeda H. *A criterion for a smooth surface to be Del Pezzo*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **113** (1993), 1-3
- [4] Mori S. *Threefolds whose canonical bundle are not numerically effective*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), 283-360
- [5] Sakai F. *Weil divisors on normal surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), 877-887
- [6] Serrano F. *Strictly nef divisors and Fano threefolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **464** (1995), 187-206